



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/625,994	07/24/2003	Madhavi W. Chandra	062891.0956	9889

5073 7590 04/09/2007
BAKER BOTTS L.L.P.
2001 ROSS AVENUE
SUITE 600
DALLAS, TX 75201-2980

EXAMINER

HOM, SHICK C

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2616

SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
3 MONTHS	04/09/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Notice of this Office communication was sent electronically on the above-indicated "Notification Date" and has a shortened statutory period for reply of 3 MONTHS from 04/09/2007.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mike.furr@bakerbotts.com
ptomail1@bakerbotts.com

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/625,994	Applicant(s) CHANDRA ET AL.	
	Examiner Shick C. Hom	Art Unit 2616	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 December 2006.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1, 2 and 4-29 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-2, 4-29 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 12/22/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's argument, in page 10 of the remarks, that Kang do not teach or suggest "a network element operable to receive a packet and to identify a sequence number included in the packet, wherein the sequence number is associated with a state of one or more adjacent network elements, and wherein the network element is operable to exchange incremental state information with the one or more adjacent network elements if the sequence number included in the packet has not already been received" is not persuasive because Kang in the abstract recite the neighboring node receiving state information indicating the state of the link connected directly to the node clearly anticipate the network element operable to receive a packet including state information for one or more adjacent network elements; paragraph 13 recite having sequence number associated with the link state information whereby the state information is discarded if the sequence number has already been received clearly anticipate the sequence number included in the packet wherein the sequence number is associated with the state of one or more adjacent network elements, and

Art Unit: 2616

exchanging state information if the sequence number has not already been received; further, paragraphs 0017-0018 recite transmitting a hello packet having a sequence number to the neighbor node). Applicant argued in page 11 lines 1-8 of the remarks, that Kang do not teach or suggest exchanging incremental state information between a network element with one or more adjacent network elements by utilizing the sequence number included in the packet is not persuasive because Kang in paragraph 0071 recite the hello function unit checking the state of a link to which the node is directly connected by periodically exchanging packets with neighboring nodes, and Kang in Fig. 17 shows incremental exchange of information to authenticate system information clearly reads on exchanging incremental state information between a network element with one or more adjacent network elements by utilizing the sequence number included in the packet as in claims 1, 9, 16, 23.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

2. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Art Unit: 2616

3. Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 101 because it is directed to "software" per se, which is not a process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter and thus non-statutory.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

5. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph because the recitation of a network element does not appear in combination with another recited element or means, is subject to an undue breadth rejection under **35 U.S.C. 112**, first paragraph. In re Hyatt, 708 F.2d 712, 714-715, 218 USPQ 195, 197 (Fed. Cir. 1983) (A network element which covered every conceivable network element for achieving the stated purpose of claim 1 is held nonenabling for the scope of the claim because the specification disclosed at most only those means known to the inventor). When claims depend on a recited property, a fact situation comparable to Hyatt is possible, where the claim covers every conceivable structure (means) for achieving the stated property (result)

Art Unit: 2616

while the specification discloses at most only those known to the inventor.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

7. Claims 1, 2, 4, 7, 9-11, 14, 16-18, 21, 23-25, 27, and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kang (2004/0028060).

Regarding claims 1, 2, 4, 9, 11, 16-18, 23-25:

Kang discloses an apparatus, method, system, and software for communicating packets in a network environment, comprising: a network element operable to receive a packet and to identify a sequence number included in the packet, wherein the sequence number is associated with a state of one or more adjacent network elements, and wherein the network element is operable to

Art Unit: 2616

exchange state information with the one or more adjacent network elements as in claims 1, 9, 16, 23; and wherein the packet is a Hello packet that includes the sequence number in its corresponding header as in claims 4, 11, 18, 25 (see the abstract which recite receiving state information indicating the state of the link connected to a neighboring node; paragraphs 13, 25, which recite having sequence number associated with the link state information whereby the state information is discarded if the sequence number has already been received; paragraphs 0017-0018 which recite transmitting a hello packet having a sequence number to the neighbor node).

Kang discloses wherein the network element is operable to exchange incremental state information with the one or more adjacent network elements if the sequence number included in the packet has not already been received, i.e. continue to exchange incremental fragment of state information if the sequence number has not already been received, and wherein the network element includes a transmitter state operable to build and to communicate the packet to a selected one or more of the adjacent network elements (see Fig. 17 which clearly indicates the incrementing function as in claims 1-2, 9-10, 16-17, 33-34).

Regarding claims 7, 14, 21, 27:

Art Unit: 2616

Kang discloses wherein the network element is operable to query a selected one of the adjacent network elements in order to receive missing awareness information, and wherein an absence of the missing awareness information is reflected by the sequence number (see paragraph 0076 which recite periodically transmitting the hello packet to check the state of connection with the neighboring node and transmitting a packet to make request of state information of a neighboring node clearly reads on querying the adjacent network elements for missing awareness information reflected by the sequence number).

Regarding claim 29:

Kang discloses wherein the network element is a router and the sequence number is selected from circular number space (see paragraph 0102 which recite the period indicating the time interval for setting the cycle of the hello packet clearly reads on the sequence number being from circular number space).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2616

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

9. Claims 5-6, 8, 12-13, 15, 19-20, 22, 26, and 28 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kang (2004/0028060) in view of Choe et al. (2003/0067924).

For claims 5-6, 8, 12-13, 15, 19-20, 22, 26, and 28 Kang discloses the apparatus, method, system and software described in paragraph 7 of this office action. Kang discloses all the

Art Unit: 2616

subject matter of the claimed invention with the exception of wherein the packet includes a fragment value operable to indicate whether the packet is a fragment to be included with other fragments in order to comprise an entire packet that includes awareness information; wherein the network element includes a fragment timer operable to provide a time interval in which fragments are to be received at a selected location in a network; and wherein the packet includes a checksum operable to provide an error detection function for the packet at receiving and transmission locations associated with a selected one or more of the network elements.

Choe et al. from the same or similar fields of endeavor teach that it is known to provide wherein the packet includes a fragment value operable to indicate whether the packet is a fragment to be included with other fragments in order to comprise an entire packet that includes awareness information (see paragraphs 0049 and 0055 which describe the packet fragmentation); wherein the network element includes a fragment timer operable to provide a time interval in which fragments are to be received at a selected location in a network (see paragraph 0069 which recite the timer); and wherein the packet includes a checksum operable to provide an error detection function for the packet at receiving and transmission locations associated with a

selected one or more of the network elements (see paragraph 0051 which recite the packet checksum field).

Thus, it would have been obvious to the person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide wherein the packet includes a fragment value operable to indicate whether the packet is a fragment to be included with other fragments in order to comprise an entire packet that includes awareness information; wherein the network element includes a fragment timer operable to provide a time interval in which fragments are to be received at a selected location in a network; and wherein the packet includes a checksum operable to provide an error detection function for the packet at receiving and transmission locations associated with a selected one or more of the network elements as taught by Choe et al. in the communications apparatus of Kang.

The packet being a fragment to be included with other fragments in order to comprise an entire packet that includes awareness information; wherein the network element includes a fragment timer operable to provide a time interval in which fragments are to be received at a selected location in a network; and wherein the packet includes a checksum operable to provide an error detection function for the packet at receiving and transmission locations associated with a selected one or more of

Art Unit: 2616

the network elements can be implemented by using the packet including the fragment value, checksum, and connecting the fragment timer of Choe et al. to the apparatus of Kang.

The motivation for using the packet including the fragment value, checksum, and connecting the fragment timer as taught by Choe et al. in the communication apparatus of Kang being that it provides more efficiency and reliability for the system since the system can more quickly determine failure with the checksum value at the receiving end.

Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shick C. Hom whose telephone number is 571-272-3173. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Seema Rao can be reached on 571-272-3174. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2616

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

SH SH

Seema S. Rao
SEEMA S. RAO 412107
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600